

A trade-off between having many sons and shorter maternal post-reproductive survival in pre-industrial Finland

Samuli Helle and Virpi Lummaa

Biol. Lett. 2013 **9**, 20130034, published 27 February 2013

Supplementary data

["Data Supplement"](#)

<http://rsbl.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/suppl/2013/02/20/rsbl.2013.0034.DC1.html>

References

[This article cites 25 articles, 11 of which can be accessed free](#)

<http://rsbl.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/9/2/20130034.full.html#ref-list-1>

Subject collections

Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections

[evolution](#) (615 articles)

[health and disease and epidemiology](#) (68 articles)

Email alerting service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right-hand corner of the article or click [here](#)



CrossMark
click for updates

Research

Cite this article: Helle S, Lummaa V. 2013

A trade-off between having many sons and shorter maternal post-reproductive survival in pre-industrial Finland. *Biol Lett* 9: 20130034. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2013.0034>

Received: 14 January 2013

Accepted: 4 February 2013

Subject Areas:

evolution, health and disease and epidemiology

Keywords:

cost of reproduction, disposable soma, longevity, sex ratio

Author for correspondence:

Samuli Helle
e-mail: sayrhe@utu.fi

Electronic supplementary material is available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2013.0034> or via <http://rsbl.royalsocietypublishing.org>.

Evolutionary biology

A trade-off between having many sons and shorter maternal post-reproductive survival in pre-industrial Finland

Samuli Helle¹ and Virpi Lummaa²

¹Section of Ecology, Department of Biology, University of Turku, Turku 20014, Finland

²Department of Animal and Plant Sciences, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S10 2TN, UK

A bias in reproduction towards sons, which are energetically more costly than daughters, has been suggested to shorten parental lifespan, but previous results have been mixed. Reproductive costs should be most evident in low rather than high resource settings, and are not expected to be severe in men, because women pay higher direct costs of reproduction. We, therefore, used demographic data from pre-industrial Finland to investigate whether the number of sons and daughters born affected their parents' post-reproductive survival and whether this was related to parent's resource availability. Irrespective of access to resources, mothers, but not fathers, with many sons suffered from reduced post-reproductive survival, and this association decreased as mothers aged. Our results provide evidence that Finnish mothers traded long post-reproductive lifespan for giving birth to many sons.

1. Introduction

Reproduction and somatic maintenance are energetically costly, and thus a trade-off is expected between the allocation of resources to reproduction versus somatic maintenance and repair [1]. Consequently, high investment in reproduction should result in shorter lifespan. The severity of such trade-offs may, however, depend on sex, with one sex (typically females) bearing a higher energetic cost of reproduction [2] and also on resource availability, with survival costs of investing heavily in reproduction being particularly evident among individuals experiencing scarcer resources [3]. In accordance with these ideas, in many taxa, reproductive costs are commonly found to be most pronounced among individuals with limited access to resources [4–6].

In humans, evidence for shorter parental lifespan in relation to increased reproductive investment is still controversial [7–10]. This trade-off is often investigated by relating the total number of children to the total lifespan. However, delivering sons compared with daughters is likely to be energetically more costly for the mothers, because sons are heavier and larger at birth [11,12] and they require more energy during gestation [13] and lactation [14]. In line with this, Helle *et al.* [15] were the first to suggest that shorter maternal lifespan may be related to the number of sons born rather than to the total number of children born. Since then, the potential association between offspring sex and parental lifespan has attracted lots of attention, but the results remain still mixed [16].

We investigated the associations of the number of sons and daughters born on post-reproductive survival in Finnish women and men. Given the expected resource-dependence of reproduction–survival trade-offs [3], we study how the numbers of sons and daughters born affected post-reproductive survival of women and men in relation to their resource availability, measured by socio-economic status. It is predicted that reproductive costs should be strongest in

Table 1. Cox regression model of the association between post-reproductive survival and the number of sons and daughters born in Finnish women and men. (In women, the influences of age at last reproduction, the number of sons (see S3), socio-economic status and birth area were time-dependent (Wald $\chi^2 > 5.86$, $p < 0.012$), whereas in men the influences of age at last reproduction and birth area were time-dependent (Wald $\chi^2 > 6.19$, $p < 0.013$). NS, number of sons; ND, number of daughters; and SES, socio-economic status.)

	women			men	
	d.f.	Wald χ^2	p-value	Wald χ^2	p-value
NS	1	9.56	0.002	0.08	0.78
ND	1	0.62	0.43	1.21	0.27
age at last reproduction	1	97.0	<0.0001	5.55	0.019
SES	2	6.69	0.035	6.55	0.038
birth cohort	2	642.0	<0.0001	273.2	<0.0001
birth area	4	164.1	<0.0001	118.6	<0.0001
twinning status	1	0.05	0.83	—	—
NS \times SES	2	0.75	0.69	0.57	0.75
ND \times SES	2	0.98	0.61	1.63	0.44

women, owing to their higher direct energetic costs of reproduction, and among those belonging to the poorest socio-economic status [2,10]. To evaluate the potential alternative explanation that the post-natal survival of sons and daughters may further influence their association to parental lifespan owing to social influences [17], we repeated our analyses by including only those offspring who survived to adulthood.

2. Material and methods

(a) Demographic data

We used demographic data collected from Finnish parish registers kept by the Lutheran Church, which allow individuals to be followed from birth, through their reproductive history and adult life, to death [18]. These data were collected from individuals born originally in eight Finnish parishes (south-western archipelago: Hiittinen, Kustavi and Rymättylä; western mainland: Ikaalinen, Pulkmila and Tyrvää; eastern mainland: Rautu and Jaakkima) but their descendants and spouses were born all over Finland. All individuals born abroad were excluded from the analyses. During the pre-industrial period, the mainland parishes were mainly dependent on agriculture, whereas archipelago populations supplemented agriculture with fishing. Since our study period covers the seventeenth to twentieth centuries, industrialism (here, mainly the wood processing industry) and a modern lifestyle had some influence on the study individuals; but because 95 per cent of the individuals studied were born before 1950, when Finland was essentially still an agricultural society, our data involve mainly individuals who lacked modern birth-control methods and modern medical care.

From these records, we collected information on post-reproductive lifespan and offspring characteristics, including number, sex and survival to age 15 for a total of 11 166 women who did not die at childbirth and 6360 men with complete information on reproductive performance (see the electronic supplementary material, text S1 and table S1 for descriptive statistics). Our analyses were not restricted to individuals living to some pre-defined age (e.g. 50 in women), as done in previous studies. This was because (i) in women, there is no obvious reason why the costs of reproduction should be restricted to post-menopausal mothers only; (ii) in both sexes it is likely that, even without deliberate birth-control, some individuals ceased

reproduction before their physiological age-limit; and (iii) in men no strict age-limit for fertility can generally be defined. Based on the socio-economic status of a husband in the family, we classified each family as poor, middle or wealthy ([19], see also the electronic supplementary material, text S2 and table S2)).

(b) Statistical analyses

The association between the number of sons and daughters born and those who survived to adulthood, and post-reproductive survival of their mothers and fathers was examined using separate Cox regression models [20]. Post-reproductive survival was defined as the number of years between an individual's last child being born and their death. Age at last reproduction was included in the models to control for between-individual differences in age at last reproduction and, thus, in entry to the risk set [20]. For those individuals with unknown age at death (for instance, owing to migration), the year of last recorded appearance (if available) was regarded as 'age at death' and these individuals were treated as right-censored observations [20]. The models included socio-economic status, birth area (archipelago, west-Finland, south- and east-Finland, central-Finland, and north-Finland) and birth cohort (before 1800, 1800–1900, after 1900), as well as interactions between the number of offspring of either sex born and socio-economic status. To aid the interpretation of the coefficients of the number of offspring of each sex in the presence of interactions, these variables were grand mean centred prior to analyses [21]. In women, we also controlled for whether they produced twins or not [22]. Assumptions of proportional hazards were examined by also fitting time-dependent coefficients of explanatory variables (i.e. cross-products of survival time and predictors in question). If statistically significant, these were retained in the model [20]. A robust sandwich covariance matrix was used to account for clustered survival times because our data contained a large number of siblings [20]. Analyses were conducted with SAS statistical package v. 9.3 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC, USA, 2002–2010).

3. Results

Women's post-reproductive survival declined with the number of sons they gave birth to (table 1; hazard ratio (HR) (95% CI) = 1.070 (1.025, 1.117)). This association did

not depend on their socio-economic status (see table 1 and electronic supplementary material, text S3 and table S3), but was age-dependent ($\chi^2_1 = 7.84$, $p = 0.005$, HR (95% CI) = 0.998 (0.997, 1.000)). That is, the survival costs of the number of sons born decreased linearly as women aged. The number of daughters born was not associated with women's post-reproductive survival (table 1; HR (95% CI) = 1.016 (0.977, 1.057)). In men, neither the number of sons (HR (95% CI) = 1.007 (0.961, 1.055)) nor daughters born (HR (95% CI) = 0.973 (0.926, 1.022)) were related to post-reproductive survival, irrespective of their socio-economic status (table 1). The number of sons and daughters surviving to adulthood were not related to either parent's post-reproductive survival (see the electronic supplementary material, text S4 and table S4).

4. Discussion

Our results show that producing sons shortened the post-reproductive lifespan of Finnish women and that this association deteriorated as mothers aged. This supports our hypothesis that production of sons is more energetically costly than the production of daughters. The reduction of reproductive costs with age may be owing to the potential beneficial social influences of surviving sons, as the number of adult sons was unrelated to post-reproductive lifespan in these women. No such associations were found in men, which is consistent with men investing less direct energetic resources in reproduction than women [2]. Because these associations were not mediated by socio-economic status in either sex, our results do not seem to support the model of resource-mediated life-history trade-offs [2,10]. This may be owing to the overwhelming reproductive costs of having many sons, which could not be avoided even among those women belonging to the highest socio-economic stratum.

References

- Kirkwood TBL, Rose MR. 1991 Evolution of senescence: late survival sacrificed for reproduction. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B* **332**, 15–24. (doi:10.1098/rstb.1991.0028)
- Penn DJ, Smith KR. 2007 Differential fitness costs of reproduction between the sexes. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **104**, 553–558. (doi:10.1073/pnas.0609301103)
- van Noordwijk AJ, de Jong G. 1986 Acquisition and allocation of resources: their influence on variation in life history tactics. *Am. Nat.* **128**, 137–142. (doi:10.1086/284547)
- Hamel S, Côté SD, Gaillard J-M, Festa-Bianchet M. 2009 Individual variation in reproductive costs of reproduction: high-quality females always do better. *J. Anim. Ecol.* **78**, 143–151. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2656.2008.01459.x)
- Ardia DR. 2004 Individual quality mediates trade-offs between reproductive effort and immune function in tree swallows. *J. Anim. Ecol.* **74**, 517–524. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2656.2005.00950.x)
- Messina FJ, Fry JD. 2003 Environment-dependent reversal of a life history trade-off in the seed beetle *Callosobruchus maculatus*. *J. Evol. Biol.* **16**, 501–509. (doi:10.1046/j.1420-9101.2003.00535.x)
- Helle S, Lummaa V, Jokela J. 2005 Are reproductive and somatic senescence coupled in humans? Late, but not early, reproduction correlated with longevity in historical Sami women. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **272**, 29–37. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2004.2944)
- Hurt LS, Ronsmans C, Thomas SL. 2006 The effect of number of births on women's mortality: systematic review of the evidence for women who have completed their childbearing. *Popul. Stud.* **60**, 55–71. (doi:10.1080/00324720500436011)
- Le Bourg E. 2007 Does reproduction decrease longevity in human beings? *Ageing Res. Rev.* **6**, 141–149. (doi:10.1016/j.arr.2007.04.002)
- Jasienska G. 2009 Reproduction and lifespan: trade-offs, overall energy budgets, intergenerational costs, and costs neglected by research. *Am. J. Hum. Biol.* **21**, 524–532. (doi:10.1002/ajhb.20931)
- Hindmarsh PC, Geary MPP, Rodeck CH, Kingdom JCP, Cole TJ. 2002 Intrauterine growth and its relationship to size and shape at birth. *Pediatr. Res.* **52**, 263–268. (doi:10.1203/00006450-200208000-00020)
- Loos RJF, Derom C, Eckels R, Derom R, Vlietinck R. 2001 Length of gestation and birth weight in dizygotic twins. *Lancet* **358**, 560–561. (doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(01)05716-6)
- Tamimi RM, Lagioui P, Mucci LA, Hsieh C-C, Adami H-O, Trichopoulos D. 2003 Average energy intake among pregnant women carrying a boy compared with a girl. *Brit. Med. J.* **326**, 1245–1246. (doi:10.1136/bmj.326.7401.1245)
- Sellen DW. 2007 Lactation, complementary feeding, and human life history. In *The evolution of human life history* (eds K Hawkes, RR Paine), pp. 155–196. Santa Fe, NM: School of American Research Press.
- Helle S, Lummaa V, Jokela J. 2002 Sons reduced maternal longevity in preindustrial humans. *Science* **296**, 1085. (doi:10.1126/science.1070106)
- Helle S, Lummaa V, Jokela J. 2010 On the number of sons born and shorter lifespan in historical Sami mothers. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **277**, 2909–2911. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2009.2114)

17. Pham-Kanter G, Goldman N. 2012 Do sons reduce parental mortality? *J. Epidemiol. Commun. Health* **66**, 710–715. (doi:10.1136/jech.2010.123323)
18. Luther G. 1993 *Suomen tilastotoimen historia*. Helsinki, Finland: WSOY.
19. Pettay JE, Helle S, Jokela J, Lummaa V. 2007 Natural selection on female life-history traits in relation to socio-economic class in preindustrial Finland. *PLoS ONE* **2**, e606. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000606)
20. Allison PD. 2010 *Survival analysis using SAS: a practical guide*, 2nd edn. Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc.
21. Gelman A, Hill J. 2007 *Data analysis using regression and multilevel/hierarchical models*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
22. Helle S, Lummaa V, Jokela J. 2004 Accelerated immunosenescence in preindustrial twin mothers. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **101**, 12391–12396. (doi:10.1073/pnas.0402215101)
23. Grant VJ, Chamley LW. 2010 Can mammalian mothers influence the sex of their offspring peri-conceptually? *Reproduction* **140**, 425–433. (doi:10.1530/REP-10-0137)
24. James WH. 2008 Evidence that mammalian sex ratios are partially controlled by parental hormone levels around the time of conception. *J. Endocrinol.* **198**, 3–15. (doi:10.1677/JOE-07-0446)
25. Klein JL. 2000 The effects of hormones on sex differences in infection: from genes to behaviour. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* **24**, 627–638. (doi:10.1016/S0149-7634(00)00027-0)
26. James WH. 2006 The sex ratios of offspring of diabetic parents. *Diab. Med.* **23**, 1043–1044. (doi:10.1111/j.1464-5491.2006.01807.x)
27. Barbieri M, Boccardi V, Papa M, Paolisso G. 2009 Healthy ageing: what role does metabolism play? *Int. Diab. Monit.* **21**, 43–47.
28. Moslehi R, Singh R, Lessner L, Fiedman JM. 2011 Impact of *BRCA* mutations on female fertility and offspring sex ratio. *Am. J. Hum. Biol.* **22**, 201–205.
29. Smith KR, Hanson HA, Mineau GP, Buys SS. 2011 Effects of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutations on female fertility. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **279**, 1389–1395. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2011.1697)